UnitEd States District Court 13 FEDERAL BUILDING 315 WEST ALLEGAN STREET LANSING, Michigan 48933

RECEIVED - LN January 13, 2025 12:49 PM

CLERK OF COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

Alphonso Jordan # 197964, VS

CASE, NO. 14-005404-01-FC

P. Hubble (ADW)

## PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNCEL

PETITIONERALPHONSO JORDAN, PERSUANT to 42. U.S.C. 1915 REQUESTS this Court to APPOINT COUNSEL to REPRESENT him IN this CASEER the Following REASONS.

(b) PETITIONERS IS NOT ABLE TO AFFORD COUNSEL...

(b) PETITIONER has AN EXELIANT CHANCE OF SUCCESS, but there
ARE Substantial disputes...

(C) THE ENDS OF JUSTICE WOULD be best served in this Case if An Attorney was Appointed to Represent Petitioner.

(d) PETITIONER IS UNLEARNED IN LOW" I MARTINEZ VS RYAN, 566 U.S. A+12] ...

DATE: MON. 01-06-2025

BY: Alphox Lordon AlPhonso Jordan #197964 NORTH 6141 INJUSTRIAL DRIVE MUNISING MICHIGAN 49862 [IN PROSE]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 113 FEDERAL BUILDING 315 WEST ALLEGAN STREET LANSING, MICHIGAN49833 Date: Mon:01-06-2025 Alphonso Jordan # 197964 Plaintiff, CASENO, 14-005404-01-FC NS P. Hubble (ADW), DEFENDANT. BRIEF IN SUPPORTOF Petitioners Motion For Appoinment OF Counsel: 3 7.2 Indigent Rights to Counsel And the Effective ABSISTANCE OF Councel "LI]N ALL CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS "U.S. CONSTITUTION VI "FINANICAL ASSISTANCE" FOR EXPERTS, INVESTIGATORS, AND, THE LIKE. ... \$3.350 PRA SEE: EVITS US LICEY, 469 U.S. 387(1985), MARTINEZ VS RYAN, 566 U.S. AT II. SEE: ID AT 4-6, 6-7 SEE: \$7.70 NN 158-59 AND ACCOMPANYIN-GHEXT. PRISONER WHO IS UN EARNED IN law "[Martinez VS RYAN, 566 U.S. At 12] Typically will have Noway of Knowing Goring the course of his litigations of ANY Right to ASK for Attorney to Raise the initally- AppointEd Attorney Affectiveness Attrial And/OR A PATENT Conflict of Trustrest shoold they ARRISE And Ethically deciding weather OR NOT to Advise the Petitioner to Request Appointment Of New Councel | Attorney ... (i) Indigent Prisoners Requests AN Attorney to Allege the Violations of Petitioners U.S. Constitutional Kights And Michigan Ofpartmental Policies Set FOURTH ( PER) LANSing (1) such A lawyer would be Provided At State Expense Apun Request, And Stich A lawyer will Provide Discovery And Newly discovered Prosecutorial Misconduct And OR Cruel and Humaine Treatment, Chuel and Unusual Punishments) And Other Procedurally defalted claimes. "Principally" to faise Allication witch lead to Petitioner's Discriminatory Actions taken Against him by and through this Administration here At Alger Correctional Focility.

(Pages)

6th Amendment: Right to Coursel and Effective Assistance of Councel. A petitioner Unlearned in law, May Not comply with the State Procedural Rules or May Misapprehend the substantive details of Federal Consult -utional Law. CE. es, id., A+620-621 [ Gecribing the EduciAtional backgroun -d of the prisoner Population) while in Prison, the Petitioners Not Any Position to develope the Eventiary basis for A claim of Discriminatory Actions taken Against him, witch Often turns on Evidence outside a sworn Affidavit that this Adiministration Refuses to have similar difficulties vindicating a Substantial claims Set fourth here in And throughout this Request for Legal Assistance. Thus, the Adiquate Assistance of Coonfiel whom is lequire to Provide investigative work And priderstanding of trial Strategy.

ARGUMENT Courts Consider several Factors to determine whether to Appoint Counsel. These incuted the PetitionER's Change of Success intention Petitioner is in A Position to investigate crucial Facts Necessary to Prove his Claimsa; Whether there ARE disputable Fact and whether the facts disputed ARE complicated of Substantial; Lopezyk. REYES, 629F. 2d .islCA8. 1982); the Complexity of the 13 (CA8, 1982) la Mosby us Mabry, 697F2d 213 (CA8, 1982) b. Slavin vs Curry, 690F, 20446 (CA. 51982) LeGAL issues - where the law is NOT Clear the court should Appoint Councel, MERRIT VS FAULKNER, 697 2d. 761(CA.7 1983); the court should consider the Ability of the indicient Petitioner to Present his case ford AN VS LEEKE 1574 F. 20 1147 (CA41978). FOR these REASONS, PETITIONER RESPECTABILITY REQUEST that this HonoRable Court will GRANT his Motion to Appoint

Date: Man. 01-06-2025

Respectfully Sumitted
by Alphonso Julien
IN PRO SE
Alphonso Jordan 197964
Alger Maximum Correctional Facility
North 6141 Industrial Park Drive

CC: FILE

Date: Mon. 01-06-2025

## CIRTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I declare I declave ON PENALTY OR PETJURY that ON OI-06-2025 I served a copy of the attachment Motion And Briff on All Clerk of the court At the Address Of Record by First class Legal Expidited Mail.

DATE: MON. 01-06-2025

CC; FILE

Respectfully Sumitted,
by Alphane Jardan
AlPhonso Jordan 197964
Alger Maximum Correctional Facility
North 6441 Industrial Dane Drive
Munising Michigan 49862

NAME: APPLOSS JORDAN #197964
Number: Alber Correction At FACILITY
Address: NORTH 6141 Inclustrum 17461 DRIVE
Address: Mulusing, Mich. Chan 49862

METROPLEX MI 480 11 JAN 2025 PM 9 L



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
113 FEBERAL BUILDING
315 LIEST ALLEGAN STREET
Lansing, Michigan 48933

48933-151438

<u> Պրությունը հանդիայի հիրալիայի փորձի վիրաբերի</u>